

A Phragmen Lindelof Theorem at Infinity for Intermittent Domains

Hasan Alme fleh*

Received on Jan. 2, 2007

Accepted for publication on June 10, 2007

Abstract

A Phragmen-Lindelöf Theorem which yields the behavior at infinity of solutions of Dirichlet problems for elliptic quasilinear second-order partial differential equations in "Intermittent Domains" is established. This addresses a question raised by Jin and Lancaster in 2003.

1 Introduction

Suppose $n \geq 2$, $v \in S^{n-1}$ and Ω is an open region in \mathbb{R}^n which "in the direction v " consists of approximately identical connected components as one goes to infinity, say $\Omega = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} \Omega_i$ with each component being roughly the translation in the direction v through a distance d_i of a prototypical region; such a domain might be called "intermittent". Suppose further that Q is a second-order, elliptic partial differential operator on \mathbb{R}^n , $\phi \in C^0(\partial\Omega)$ and $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ is the solution of the Dirichlet problem $Qu = 0$ in Ω and $u = \phi$ on $\partial\Omega$. If Q has a "limiting form at ∞ " $Q^{(\infty)}$ in the direction v and ϕ has limiting values at infinity in the direction v , then does u converge at infinity in the direction v to translations of a function k which is determined by $Q^{(\infty)}$ and the limiting values of ϕ ?

This is the type of question which arises in Example 5.3 of [5]. In this note, a special "Phragmen-Lindelöf theorem at infinity" will be established which answers this question affirmatively under certain conditions. Related Phragmen-Lindelöf theorems at infinity in which the structure of Ω is less important have been obtained by various authors; the reader is referred to the papers [4] and [5] and their references for some examples. The author obtained a less general version of this Phragmen-Lindelöf theorem at infinity in his dissertation ([1]), which appears in [2]. Examples at the end of this note illustrate the results obtained here.

2 Statement of the Theorem

Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. For $M > 0$, let S_M denote the set

$$\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid |x_n| < M\} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid x_n \in I_M\},$$

where $I_M = (-M, M)$. Let Ω be an unbounded, open set in \mathbf{R}^n contained in S_M . Let $T(\Omega)$ denote the set of directions $\omega \in S^{n-2}$ at infinity of the set $\pi(\Omega) = \{\vec{x} \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1} : (\vec{x}, y) \in \Omega \text{ for some } y \in I_M\}$; that is

$$T(\Omega) = \overline{\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{r \geq N} \{\omega \in S^{n-2} : r\omega \in \pi(\Omega)\}}. \quad (1)$$

Notice that $\omega \in T(\Omega)$ if and only if there exists a sequence $\{(x_j, y_j)\}$ in Ω with $|x_j| \rightarrow \infty$ and $\frac{x_j}{|x_j|} \rightarrow \omega$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Also $\omega \in T(\Omega)$ if and only if $v = (\omega, 0) \in S^{n-1}$ is a direction at infinity of $\Omega \subset S_M$.

Suppose (a_{ij}) is a $n \times n$ (symmetric) positive semidefinite matrix of functions in $C^0(\Omega \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^n)$ with trace one (e.g. [7], [3]), so that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}(X, z, P) = 1 \quad \text{for } (X, z, P) \in \Omega \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^n. \quad (2)$$

Let b be a function in $C^0(\Omega \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $b(X, z, P)$ is nonincreasing in z and let Q be the non-hyperbolic quasilinear second-order operator defined by

$$Qu(X) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(X, u(X), Du(X)) D_{ij}u(X) + b(X, u(X), Du(X)). \quad (3)$$

Consider the Dirichlet problem of finding a function $f \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ which satisfies

$$Qf = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (4)$$

and

$$f = \phi \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \quad (5)$$

Let $\omega, \nu \in S^{n-2}$ with $\omega \cdot \nu > 0$; for notational simplicity, we will assume Ω has been rotated so that $\omega = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ (and hence $\nu_1 > 0$). Set $m_j = \nu_j/\nu_1$, $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. Fix a bounded open subset Λ of $\mathbf{R} \times I_M$. For $d > 0$, let

$$\Lambda_d = \{(x_1, x', y) \in \mathbf{R}^n : (\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} m_j x_j - d, y) \in \Lambda\}.$$

An element $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of \mathbf{R}^n may be represented in either of two ways:

- (i) $X = (\vec{x}, y)$, where $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ and $y = x_n$.
- (ii) $X = (x_1, x', y)$, where $x' = (x_2, \dots, x_{n-1})$ and $y = x_n$.

If $h : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, set

$$L_h = \{(x_1, x', y) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{n-2} \times \mathbf{R} : |x'| < h(x_1)\}.$$

Almefleh

Assumption 1 There exist $R_0 > 0$, a positive, increasing function $h : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, and sequences $(d_l), (e_l)$ in $(0, \infty)$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t) = \infty$, $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} d_l = \infty$, $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} e_l = 0$,

$$\Omega^h = \cup_{l=1}^{\infty} \Omega_l,$$

$\Omega_i \cap \Omega_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$ and $\text{dist}(\Omega_l, \Lambda_{d_l}) \leq e_l$ for $l = 1, 2, \dots$, where $\Omega^h = \{(\vec{x}, y) \in \Omega \cap L_h : |\vec{x}| > R_0\}$.

Remark 2.1 For $\delta \in (0, \sqrt{2})$ and $\omega \in S^{n-2}$, consider the “cone”

$$S_{\omega}^{\delta} = \{(\vec{x}, y) \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{R} : |\frac{\vec{x}}{|\vec{x}|} - \omega| < \delta\}.$$

If $\omega = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $h(t) = mt$, where $m = \delta\sqrt{4 - \delta^2}/(2 - \delta^2)$, then $S_{\omega}^{\delta} = L_h$.

Assumption 2 There exist $E, A, B \in C^0(I_M \times \mathbf{R}^3)$ such that $E(y, z, p_1, q)$ is nonincreasing in z , $A(y, z, p_1, q)$ and $B(y, z, p_1, q)$ are independent of z and

$$\frac{b(\vec{x}, y, z, \vec{p}, q)}{a_{nn}(\vec{x}, y, z, \vec{p}, q)} \rightarrow E(y, z, p_1, q), \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{\sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} m_i m_j a_{ij}(\vec{x}, y, z, \vec{p}, q)}{a_{nn}(\vec{x}, y, z, \vec{p}, q)} \rightarrow A(y, z, p_1, q), \quad (7)$$

and

$$\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} m_j a_{jn}(\vec{x}, y, z, \vec{p}, q)}{a_{nn}(\vec{x}, y, z, \vec{p}, q)} \rightarrow B(y, z, p_1, q) \quad (8)$$

as $|\vec{x}| \rightarrow \infty$ with $\frac{\vec{x}}{|\vec{x}|} \rightarrow \omega$ and $|p'| = |(p_2, \dots, p_{n-1})| \rightarrow 0$ uniformly for $|y| < M$ and $z, p_1, q \in \mathbf{R}$.

Let $Q^{(\infty)}$ be the operator on $C^2(\mathbf{R} \times I_M)$ defined by

$$Q^{(\infty)}v(t, y) = A(t, y, v, Dv)\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t^2} + 2B(t, y, v, Dv)\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} + E(t, y, v, Dv)$$

Assumption 3 There exist functions $H \in C^0(\overline{I_M})$ and $k \in C^0(\overline{\Lambda}) \cap C^2(\Lambda)$ such that

$$\phi(\vec{x}, y) \rightarrow H(y) \quad \text{uniformly as } |\vec{x}| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\vec{x}}{|\vec{x}|} \rightarrow \omega \quad \text{for } (\vec{x}, y) \in \partial\Omega, \quad (9)$$

$$Q^{(\infty)}k = 0 \quad \text{in } \Lambda \quad (10)$$

and

$$k(t, y) = H(y) \quad \text{for } (t, y) \in \partial\Lambda. \quad (11)$$

A Phragmen Lindelof Theorem at Infinity for Intermittent Domains

Remark 2.2 *One can observe that the regularity of k (i.e. $k \in C^2(\Lambda)$) and equation (10) play no role in the proof and are, in fact, unnecessary. They will hold in “typical” situations and are included for the edification of the reader.*

Assumption 4 For each $\epsilon > 0$, there exist positive constants B_0, B_1, δ, σ (depending only on $Q^{(\infty)}, \Lambda, k$ and ϵ) and $k_1, k_2 \in C^1(\overline{\Lambda^\sigma}) \cap C^2(\Lambda^\sigma)$ such that

$$|k_j(t, y) - k(t, y)| \leq \epsilon, \quad (t, y) \in \Lambda, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (12)$$

$$Q^{(\infty)}k_1 \geq \delta, \quad (t, y) \in \Lambda^\sigma, \quad (13)$$

$$Q^{(\infty)}k_2 \leq -\delta, \quad (t, y) \in \Lambda^\sigma \quad (14)$$

$$|Dk_j(t, y)| \leq B_0, \quad (t, y) \in \Lambda^\sigma, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (15)$$

and

$$|D^2k_j(t, y)| \leq B_1, \quad (t, y) \in \Lambda^\sigma, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (16)$$

where

$$\Lambda^\sigma = \{(x_1, y) \in \mathbf{R} \times I_M : |x_1 - t| < \sigma \text{ for some } (t, y) \in \Lambda\}.$$

In addition, assume $\sigma(\epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Theorem 2.3 Let $\Omega \subset S_M$ and $\omega = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ be as above. Suppose

- 1) Q satisfies (2);
- 2) $f \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\overline{\Omega}) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfies (4) & (5);
- 3) Assumptions 1-4 are satisfied;
- 4) there exist $L \geq 0$ and a positive continuous function σ_1 on $[1, \infty)$ such that

$$a_{nn}(\vec{x}, y, z, \vec{p}, q) \geq \sigma_1(|\vec{p}|^2 + q^2) \quad (17)$$

whenever $\vec{x}, \vec{p} \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$, $y, z, q \in \mathbf{R}$ with $|\vec{x}| \geq L$ and $|y| \leq M$.

Let $(t, y) \in \overline{\Lambda}$. Suppose $(\vec{x}_{(i)})$ is a sequence in \mathbf{R}^{n-1} and $(y_{(i)})$ is a sequence in $\overline{I_M}$ such that

- (i) $(\vec{x}_{(i)}, y_{(i)}) = (x_{(i),1}, x_{(i),2}, \dots, x_{(i),n-1}, y_{(i)}) \in \overline{\Omega}_i$ for $i \in \mathbf{N}$,
- (ii) $h(x_{(i),1}) - |x'_{(i)}| \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\vec{x}_{(l)}}{|\vec{x}_{(l)}|} = \omega$$

- (iii) $(\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} m_l x_{(i),l} - d_i, y_{(i)}) \rightarrow (t, y)$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

Then

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f(\vec{x}_{(i)}, y_{(i)}) = k(t, y). \quad (18)$$

3 Proof

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Let $B_0, B_1, \delta, \sigma \in (0, \infty)$ and $k_1, k_2 \in C^1(\overline{\Lambda^\sigma}) \cap C^2(\Lambda^\sigma)$ be as given in Assumption 4 so that (12)-(16) hold. In particular,

$$AD_{11}k_2 + 2BD_{1n}k_2 + D_{nn}k_2 + E(k_2, D_1k_2, D_nk_2) = Q^{(\infty)}k_2 \leq -\delta. \quad (19)$$

Assumption 1 implies that there exists a natural number N_ϵ such that

$$e_i < \frac{\epsilon}{B_0} \quad \text{whenever } i \geq N_\epsilon. \quad (20)$$

Assumption 3 implies that there exist $\delta_1 > 0$ and R_1 such that if $(\vec{x}, y) \in \partial\Omega$, $|\vec{x}| \geq R_1$, $|y| \leq M$, and $|\frac{\vec{x}}{|\vec{x}|} - \omega| < \delta_1$, then

$$|\phi(\vec{x}, y) - H(y)| < \epsilon. \quad (21)$$

Assumption 2 implies there exist $\delta_2 > 0$ and R_2 such that

$$\left| \frac{b(\vec{x}, y, z, \vec{p}, q)}{a_{nn}(\vec{x}, y, z, \vec{p}, q)} - E(y, z, p_1, q) \right| \leq \frac{\delta}{16} \quad (22)$$

$$\left| \frac{a_{11}(\vec{x}, y, z, \vec{p}, q)}{a_{nn}(\vec{x}, y, z, \vec{p}, q)} - a_{11}^*(y, z, p_1, q) \right| \leq \frac{\delta}{16B_1} \quad (23)$$

$$\left| \frac{a_{1n}(\vec{x}, y, z, \vec{p}, q)}{a_{nn}(\vec{x}, y, z, \vec{p}, q)} - a_{1n}^*(y, z, p_1, q) \right| \leq \frac{\delta}{16B_1} \quad (24)$$

if $|\vec{x}| \geq R_2$, $|\mathbf{p}'| = |(p_2, \dots, p_{n-1})| \leq \delta_2$, and $|\frac{\vec{x}}{|\vec{x}|} - \omega| \leq 2\delta_2$. Consider the compact set

$$K = \{(\vec{p}, q) \in \mathbf{R}^n : |\vec{p}|^2 + q^2 \leq 2(1 + \|\frac{\partial k_2}{\partial y}\|_\infty^2 + \|\frac{\partial k_2}{\partial t}\|_\infty^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m_i^2)\}.$$

Now (17) implies that there exists $\mu = \mu(K) > 0$ such that

$$a_{nn}(\vec{x}, y, z, \vec{p}, q) \geq \mu(K) \quad \text{if } (\vec{p}, q) \in K, \vec{x} \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \text{ and } y, z \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Set $T_2(f) = \sup\{|f(\vec{x}, y) - k_2(t, y)| : (\vec{x}, y) \in \Omega, (t, y) \in \Lambda^\sigma\}$. There exists $\delta_3 > 0$ such that

$$|E(y, z, p_1 + s, q + t) - E(y, z, p_1, q)| \leq \frac{\delta}{16}, \quad (25)$$

$$|A(y, z, p_1 + s, q + t) - A(y, z, p_1, q)| \leq \frac{\delta}{16B_1}, \quad (26)$$

$$|B(y, z, p_1 + s, q + t) - B(y, z, p_1, q)| \leq \frac{\delta}{16B_1} \quad (27)$$

for $|s|, |t| \leq \delta_3$, $|y| < M$, $|z| < T_2(f)$, and $(p_1, q) \in K$.

A Phragmen Lindelof Theorem at Infinity for Intermittent Domains

There exists $\delta_4 > 0$ such that $2|\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m_i x_i| \geq |\bar{x}|$ whenever $|\frac{\bar{x}}{|\bar{x}|} - \omega| \leq \delta_4$. Set $\delta_0 = \min\{1, \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4\}$. Now choose $H \geq 2$ such that $\frac{2M}{H} < \epsilon$, $\chi(H) \leq \ln(2)$,

$$A(H) \geq 16T_2(f), \quad A(H) \geq \frac{5}{\mu(K)\delta}, \quad (28)$$

and

$$\frac{2\sqrt{2T_2(f)A(H)e^{\chi(H)}}}{A(H)} + \frac{2}{H} < \delta_0, \quad (29)$$

where $A(H)$ is given in (7.8) of [4] with

$$\eta(\beta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\beta}} & \text{if } 0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2} \\ e^{\frac{1}{2}-\beta} & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq \beta < \infty. \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

There exists $R_3 > 0$ such that if $|\bar{x}_0| \geq R_3$, $|\bar{x} - \bar{x}_0| \leq A(H)e^{\chi(H)}$, and $|\frac{\bar{x}_0}{|\bar{x}_0|} - \omega| < \delta_0$, then $|\frac{\bar{x}}{|\bar{x}|} - \omega| < 2\delta_0$. There exists $R_4 > 0$ such that if $(\bar{x}, y) \in \bar{\Omega}$, $|\bar{x}| \geq R_4$ and $|\frac{\bar{x}}{|\bar{x}|} - \omega| < \delta_4$, then $(\bar{x}, y) \in \bar{\Omega}_i$ for some $i \geq N_\epsilon$. Set $R_0 = \max\{R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4\} + A(H)e^{\chi(H)}$ and define

$$W = \{\bar{x} \mid |\bar{x}| > R_0, \quad |\frac{\bar{x}}{|\bar{x}|} - \omega| < \delta_0, \quad h(x_1) - \sqrt{\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} x_j^2} \geq A(H)e^{\chi(H)}\}.$$

Claim: If $(\bar{x}_0, y_0) \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $\bar{x}_0 = (x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}, \dots, x_{n-1}^{(0)}) \in W$, then $(\bar{x}_0, y_0) \in \bar{\Omega}_l$ for some $l = l(\bar{x}_0) \in \mathbb{N}$ with $l \geq N_\epsilon$ and

$$k_1\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m_i x_i^{(0)} - d_l, y_0\right) - 4\epsilon < f(\bar{x}_0, y_0) < k_2\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m_i x_i^{(0)} - d_l, y_0\right) + 4\epsilon. \quad (31)$$

Let $w(\bar{x}, y) = w_{a, \bar{x}_0, \gamma, H}(\bar{x}, y)$ be the upper barrier given in §7 of [4], specifically (7.14) of [4], with $\gamma = 3\epsilon$, $a = A(H)$ and η as in (30). Set $l = l(\bar{x}_0)$. Notice then that $w \geq \gamma = 3\epsilon$ on $\Omega_{a, \bar{x}_0, H}$. Now set

$$V_l = \{(\bar{x}, y) \in \Omega_{a, \bar{x}_0, H} \cap \Omega_l : |\bar{x} - \bar{x}_0| < \sqrt{2T_2(f)A(H)e^{\chi(H)} - T_2(f)^2}\}. \quad (32)$$

Define $u_2, v_2 \in C^1(\bar{V}_l) \cap C^2(V_l)$ by $v_2(\bar{x}, y) = k_2(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m_i x_i - d_l, y)$ and $u_2 = w + v_2$. Notice that

$$D_1 v_2(\bar{x}, y) = \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x_1}(\bar{x}, y) = \frac{\partial k_2}{\partial t}(t, y) \quad \text{with } t = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m_i x_i - d_l, \quad (33)$$

since $m_1 = 1$, and so $D_j v_2 = m_j D_1 v_2$, $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. Notice also if $(\bar{x}, y) \in V_l$, then $|\bar{x}| \geq \max\{R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4\}$, $h'_a(h_a^{-1}(y+M)) \geq H \geq 2$, $A(H) < h_a^{-1}(y+M) < A(H)e^{\chi(H)}$, and $|\frac{\bar{x}}{|\bar{x}|} - \omega| < 2\delta_0$.

Let $\zeta \geq 0$. We claim that

$$Q(u_2 + \zeta) < 0 \text{ in } \Omega_{1,t}. \quad (34)$$

From §7, [4], it follows that

$$\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(\vec{x}, y) = \frac{\delta_{ij} S^2 + (x_i - x_i^{(0)})(x_j - x_j^{(0)})}{S^3} \text{ for } 1 \leq i, j \leq n-1,$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x_i \partial y}(\vec{x}, y) = \frac{-(x_i - x_i^{(0)})Z}{S^3 h'_a(Z)} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1,$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2}(\vec{x}, y) = \frac{S^2(Z h''_a(Z) - h'_a(Z)) + Z^2 h'_a(Z)}{S^3 (h'_a(Z))^3}$$

where $\vec{x}_0 = (x_1^{(0)}, \dots, x_{n-1}^{(0)})$,

$$Z = h_a^{-1}(y + M), \quad \text{and} \quad S = \sqrt{(h_a^{-1}(y + M))^2 - |\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0|^2} = \sqrt{Z^2 - |\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0|^2}.$$

Since $A(H) < Z < 2A(H)$, $|\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0|^2 < 2T_2(f)A(H)e^{\chi(H)} - T_2(f)^2 \leq 4T_2(f)A(H) - T_2(f)^2$, and $A(H) \geq 16T_2(f)$, it is easy to see that $2S^2 \geq (A(H))^2$. Notice then that

$$|Dw(\vec{x}, y)| \leq \frac{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0|}{S} + \frac{Z}{S|h'_a(Z)|} \leq \frac{2\sqrt{2T_2(f)A(H)e^{\chi(H)} - T_2(f)^2}}{A(H)} + \frac{2}{H}$$

and so (29) implies $|Dw(\vec{x}, y)| < \delta_0$.

If one sets $\xi_i = \frac{x_i - x_i^{(0)}}{S}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $\xi_n = \frac{-Z}{S h'_a(Z)}$, and $\vec{\xi} = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$, then $|\vec{\xi}| \leq 1$ and $\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(\vec{x}, y, u_2 + \zeta, Du_2) \xi_i \xi_j \leq 1$. Since

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}(\vec{x}, y, u_2 + \zeta, Du_2) \frac{\delta_{ij}}{S} = \frac{1}{S} (1 - a_{nn}(\vec{x}, y, u_2 + \zeta, Du_2))$$

and

$$\frac{Z h''_a(Z)}{S (h'_a(Z))^3} = -\frac{1}{S},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(\vec{x}, y, u_2 + \zeta, Du_2) D_{ij} w(\vec{x}, y) \\ & \leq \frac{2}{S} - \frac{1}{S} \left(2 + \frac{1}{(h'_a(Z))^2} \right) a_{nn}(\vec{x}, y, u_2 + \zeta, Du_2) < \frac{2}{S}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|Dw(\vec{x}, y)| < \delta_0 \leq 1$ when $(\vec{x}, y) \in \Omega_1$, $Du_2(\vec{x}, y) \in K$ and so $a_{nn}(\vec{x}, y, u_2 + \zeta, Du_2) \geq \mu(K)$ if $(\vec{x}, y) \in V_i$. Set $\mu = \mu(K)$. From (28), one obtains $\frac{2}{S} \leq \frac{\mu \delta}{2}$.

A Phragmen Lindelof Theorem at Infinity for Intermittent Domains

Notice that

$$E(y, u_2 + \zeta, p_1, q) \leq E(y, u_2, p_1, q) \leq E(y, k_2, p_1, q) \quad (35)$$

for all $y \in I_M$ and $p_1, q \in \mathbf{R}$ since $\zeta \geq 0$ and $u_2 = w + k_2 \geq 2\epsilon + k_2 > k_2$. Using (22), (25) and (35), we see that

$$\left| \frac{b(\vec{x}, y, u_2(\vec{x}, y) + \zeta, Du_2(\vec{x}, y))}{a_{nn}(\vec{x}, y, u_2(\vec{x}, y) + \zeta, Du_2(\vec{x}, y))} - E(y, k_2(t, y), Dk_2(t, y)) \right| < \frac{\delta}{8}, \quad (36)$$

where $t = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m_i x_i - d_l$ and $Dk_2 = (\frac{\partial k_2}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial k_2}{\partial y})$. From (23), (26) and (35), we have

$$\left| \frac{\sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} m_i m_j a_{ij}(\vec{x}, y, u_2 + \zeta, Du_2)}{a_{nn}(\vec{x}, y, u_2 + \zeta, Du_2)} - A(y, k_2(t, y), Dk_2(t, y)) \right| < \frac{\delta}{8B_1} \quad (37)$$

and from (24), (27) and (35), we obtain

$$\left| \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m_i a_{in}(\vec{x}, y, u_2 + \zeta, Du_2)}{a_{nn}(\vec{x}, y, u_2 + \zeta, Du_2)} - B(y, k_2(t, y), Dk_2(t, y)) \right| < \frac{\delta}{8B_1}, \quad (38)$$

where t is as above. Recalling (19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Qu_2(\vec{x}, y) &= Q(w + k_2 + \zeta)(\vec{x}, y) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} D_{ij} w + a_{11} D_{11} k_2 + 2a_{1n} D_{1n} k_2 + a_{nn} D_{nn} k_2 + b \\ &< \frac{\mu\delta}{2} + \left(\frac{a_{11}}{a_{nn}} D_{11} k_2 + 2 \frac{a_{1n}}{a_{nn}} D_{1n} k_2 + D_{nn} k_2 + \frac{b}{a_{nn}} \right) a_{nn} \\ &< \frac{\mu\delta}{2} + a_{nn} \left[|D_{11} k_2| \frac{\delta}{8B_1} + 2|D_{1n} k_2| \frac{\delta}{8B_1} + \frac{\delta}{8} \right] \\ &+ a_{nn} [AD_{11} k_2 + 2BD_{1n} k_2 + D_{nn} k_2 + E(k_2, D_1 k_2, D_n k_2)] \\ &\leq \frac{\mu\delta}{2} + \left[\frac{\delta}{8} + \frac{|D_{11} k_2| \delta}{8B_1} + \frac{2|D_{1n} k_2| \delta}{8B_1} - \delta \right] a_{nn} (Du_2) \\ &\leq \frac{\mu\delta}{2} - \frac{\mu\delta}{2} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_{ij} = a_{ij}(\vec{x}, y, u_2 + \zeta, Du_2)$, $A(D_1 u_2, D_n u_2) = A(y, D_1 u_2, D_n u_2)$, $b = b(u_2 + \zeta, Du_2) = b(\vec{x}, y, u_2 + \zeta, Du_2)$, etc.

Suppose $(\vec{x}, y) \in \partial V_l \cap \partial \Omega_l$. Then there exists $(t, y) \in \partial \Lambda$ such that

$$\left| t - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m_i x_i - d_l \right) \right| < \epsilon_l < \frac{\epsilon}{B_0}.$$

Using (11), (12) and (21), we obtain

$$f(\vec{x}, y) = \phi(\vec{x}, y) < H(y) + \epsilon = k(t, y) + \epsilon \leq k_2(t, y) + 2\epsilon$$

$$< k_2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m_i x_i - d_l, y \right) + B_0 \epsilon_l + 2\epsilon \leq k_2(t, y) + w(\vec{x}, y).$$

Thus

$$f(\vec{x}, y) - u_2(\vec{x}, y) < 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial V_l \cap \partial \Omega_l.$$

Suppose $(\vec{x}, y) \in \Omega_l \cap \partial V_l$. Then $|\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0| = \sqrt{2T_2(f)A(H)e^{\chi(H)} - T_2(f)^2}$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} w(\vec{x}, y) &= 3\epsilon + A(H)e^{\chi(H)} - \sqrt{(h_a^{-1}(y + M))^2 - |\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0|^2} \\ &\geq 3\epsilon + A(H)e^{\chi(H)} - \sqrt{(A(H)e^{\chi(H)})^2 - 2T_2(f)A(H)e^{\chi(H)} + T_2(f)^2} = 3\epsilon + T_2(f). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$f(\vec{x}, y) - k_2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m_i x_i - d_l, y \right) \leq T_2(f) \leq w(\vec{x}, y) - 3\epsilon < w(\vec{x}, y)$$

and so $f(\vec{x}, y) < u_2(\vec{x}, y)$ for $(\vec{x}, y) \in \Omega_l \cap \partial V_l$.

Let $U_0 = \{(\vec{x}, y) \in V_l : f(\vec{x}, y) > u_2(\vec{x}, y)\}$. Since $f < u_2$ on ∂V_l , U_0 is a relatively compact subset of V_l and $f = u_2$ on ∂U_0 . A standard argument (e.g. §3 of [6]) then implies $U_0 = \emptyset$ and so $f \leq u_2$ on V_l . Therefore,

$$f(\vec{x}_0, y) \leq w(\vec{x}_0, y) + k_2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m_i x_i^{(0)} - d_l, y \right) < 4\epsilon + k_2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m_i x_i^{(0)} - d_l, y \right)$$

since $w(\vec{x}_0, y) \leq 3\epsilon + \frac{2M}{H} < 4\epsilon$ for $|y| \leq M$. Repeating this argument with the lower barrier $u_1(\vec{x}, y) = -w(\vec{x}, y) + k_1(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m_i x_i^{(0)} - d_l, y)$ in place of $u_2(\vec{x}, y)$, one obtains

$$k_1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m_i x_i^{(0)} - d_l, y \right) - 4\epsilon < f(\vec{x}_0, y), \quad |y| \leq M.$$

Thus the claim (31) is valid.

Now suppose $(t, y) \in \bar{\Omega}$, $(\vec{x}_0, y_0) \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $\vec{x}_0 \in W$. Then $(\vec{x}_0, y_0) \in \Omega_l$ for some $l = l(\vec{x}_0) \geq N_\epsilon$. Suppose $|(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m_i x_i^{(0)} - d_l, y_0) - (t, y)| \leq \lambda$ for some $\lambda \geq 0$. Then (15) implies $|k_j(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m_i x_i^{(0)} - d_l, y_0) - k_j(t, y)| \leq B_0 \lambda$ for $j = 1, 2$. Since $k(t, y) - \epsilon \leq k_1(t, y)$ and $k_2(t, y) \leq k(t, y) + \epsilon$, one sees that

$$k(t, y) - 5\epsilon - B_0 \lambda \leq f(\vec{x}_0, y_0) \leq k(t, y) + 5\epsilon + B_0 \lambda.$$

The conclusion of the theorem follows from this.

4 Examples

To illustrate the results in this note, let $M = 1$ and $n = 3$ and consider the following elliptic operators:

$$Q_1 u = \frac{1}{3} \Delta u \tag{39}$$

A Phragmen Lindelof Theorem at Infinity for Intermittent Domains

and

$$Q_2 u = \frac{1}{3 + 2|\nabla u|^2} \operatorname{div}(Tu), \quad \text{where } Tu = \frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}}. \quad (40)$$

Notice that Q_1 is the Laplace operator and Q_2 is the minimal surface operator in \mathbf{R}^3 .

For $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \beta < \frac{\pi}{2}$, let $\nu = (\cos(\beta), -\sin(\beta)) \in S^{n-2}$. Notice that $m_1 = 1$ and $m_2 = -\tan(\beta)$; hence

$$Q_1^{(\infty)} v(t, y) = \sec^2(\beta) v_{tt} + v_{yy} \quad (41)$$

and

$$Q_2^{(\infty)} v(t, y) = \frac{(\sec^2(\beta)(1 + |\nabla v|^2) - v_t^2) v_{tt} - 2v_t v_y v_{ty} + v_{yy}}{1 + v_t^2}. \quad (42)$$

Notice that the results of [1] and [2] do not apply unless $\beta = 0$ and $e_l = 0$ in Assumption 1 for each $l \in \mathbf{N}$.

Example 4.1 Let $\Lambda = \{(x_1, y) \in \mathbf{R} \times I_M : -1 < x_1 < 1, -1 < y < 1\}$, $d_l = 3^l$, $\Omega_l = \Lambda_{d_l}$ and $e_l = 0$ for $l \in \mathbf{N}$, $\Omega = \cup_{l=1}^{\infty} \Omega_l$, $H(y) = \cos(\frac{\pi}{2}y) \cosh(\frac{\pi}{2} \cos(\beta))$ and $\phi(x_1, x_2, y) \rightarrow H(y)$ as $x_1 \rightarrow \infty$ with $\frac{x_2}{x_1} \rightarrow 0$. If $(t, y) \in \bar{\Lambda}$, $\{(x_{(l),1}, x_{(l),2}, y_{(l)})\}$ is a sequence in $\bar{\Omega}$ with $(x_{(l),1}, x_{(l),2}, y_{(l)}) \in \bar{\Omega}_l$ for each $l = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$(x_{(l),1} - \tan(\beta)x_{(l),2} - d_l, y_{(l)}) \rightarrow (t, y) \quad \text{as } l \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(x_{(l),1}, x_{(l),2})}{\sqrt{x_{(l),1}^2 + x_{(l),2}^2}} = (1, 0),$$

then

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} f(x_{(l),1}, x_{(l),2}, y_{(l)}) = k(t, y),$$

where $f \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega}) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfies (4) & (5) with $Q = Q_1$ and

$$k(t, y) = \cos(\frac{\pi}{2}y) \cosh(\frac{\pi}{2} \cos(\beta)t).$$

In particular, $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} f(3^l + t, 0, y) = k(t, y)$ for all $|t| \leq 1$ and $|y| \leq 1$.

(Here we don't need $h(t)$ and $k_1(t, y) = \cos(\frac{\pi}{2}y) \cosh(\frac{\pi}{2} \cos(\beta)(1 + \eta)t)$ and $k_2(t, y) = \cos(\frac{\pi}{2}y) \cosh(\frac{\pi}{2} \cos(\beta)(1 - \eta)t)$ for sufficiently small $\eta > 0$.) If Assumptions 3 & 4 hold for $Q = Q_2$, then the conclusion of the theorem holds when $Q = Q_2$.

Example 4.2 Fix $\beta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. Let $\{d_l\}$ be any sequence in \mathbf{R} satisfying $d_1 \geq 2$ and $d_{l+1} > d_l + 1$. For each $l \in \mathbf{N}$, let

$$\Omega_l = \{(x_1, x_2, y) : |y| < 1, \sec^2(\beta)d_l^2 - 2d_l + 1 < x_1^2 + (x_2 - \tan(\beta)d_l)^2 < \sec^2(\beta)d_l^2\}.$$

The boundary of Ω_l consists of two concentric circles with center $(0, \tan(\beta)d_l)$; the inner circle passes through $(d_l - 1, 0)$, the outer circle passes through $(d_l, 0)$ and the radius through $(d_l, 0)$ meets the x_1 -axis in an angle of β .

Almefleh

Set $\Omega = \cup_{l=1}^{\infty} \Omega_l$ and $\Lambda = (-1, 0) \times (-1, 1)$. Select h to be an increasing function which satisfies $f(t) = o(\sqrt{t})$ at $t \rightarrow \infty$ and $h(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. The reader can verify that Assumption 1 is satisfied with the appropriate values of $e_l > 0$ which depend on h . For example, if $h(t) = Ct^\alpha$ with $0 < \alpha < 1/2$, then $e_l = O(d_l^{2\alpha-1})$ as $d_l \rightarrow \infty$. If Assumptions 3 & 4 hold for $Q = Q_1$ or $Q = Q_2$, the conclusion of the theorem holds when $Q = Q_1$ or $Q = Q_2$ respectively.

Example 4.3 In Example 5.3 of [5], $n = 3$, $M = 1$, $Qu = \frac{1}{3}(\Delta u - u)$ and $\phi \equiv \cosh(1)$. When $\theta \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, 0)$ and $\omega = (\cos(\theta), \sin(\theta))$, an analysis similar to that in the previous example with $\beta = 0$ applies, where

$$\Lambda = \left(-\arcsin\left(1 + \frac{4\theta}{\pi}\right), \pi + \arcsin\left(1 + \frac{4\theta}{\pi}\right)\right) \times (-1, 1)$$

and $k \in C^2(\Lambda) \cap C^0(\bar{\Lambda})$ is the solution of $k_{tt} + k_{yy} - k = 0$ in Λ and $k = \cosh(1)$ on $\partial\Lambda$.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Almefleh, Asymptotic Behavior of Solutions of Elliptic Partial Differential Equations, PhD Dissertation, Wichita State University, 2003.
- [2] H. Almefleh, Asymptotic Behavior of Solutions of Elliptic Partial Differential Equations, submitted for publication.
- [3] Z. Jin & K. Lancaster, Theorrrms of Phragmén-Lindelöf type for quasilinear elliptic equations, *J. reine angew. Math.* **514** (1999), 165-197.
- [4] Z. Jin & K. Lancaster, Phragmén-Lindelöf Theorrrms and the Asymptotic Behavior of solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations in slabs, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh* **130A** (2000), 335-373.
- [5] Z. Jin & K. Lancaster, A Phragmén-Lindelöf Theorem and the Behavior at Infinity of solutions of non-hyperbolic equations, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* **211** (2003), 101-121.
- [6] K. Lancaster, Phragmén-Lindelöf theorrrms in slabs for some systems of non-hyperbolic second-order quasilinear equations, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh* **133A** (2003), 1155-1173.
- [7] J. Serrin, *The problem of Dirichlet for quasilinear equations with many independent variables*, *Phil. Trans. Royal Soc. London Ser. A* **264** (1969), 413-496.

keywords: Phragmén-Lindelöf theorem
MSC: 35B40 (35B45 35J25 35J60)