Jordan Journal of Mathematics and Statistics (JJMS) 9(4), 2016, pp 303-313

ON AN OPENNESS WHICH IS PLACED BETWEEN TOPOLOGY AND LEVINE'S OPENNESS

ERDAL EKICI

ABSTRACT. The goal of this present paper is to investigate new relationships of I_g^* -openness. The notion of I_g^* -open sets was introduced by Ekici in 2011. I_g^* -openness is placed between topology and Levine's openness. New investigations among I_q^* -openness, topology and maps are introduced.

1. INTRODUCTION

In all brances of mathematics, we can observe the applications of maps or sets (e.g. [1, 13]). In many of these applications, we observe sets or maps and so topology in background of these studies. There exist the examples and applications of these studies in the literature, e.g. in physics, computer science, engineering, computer graphics etc. (e.g. [8, 9, 10, 14]). Meanwhile, the applications of maps and sets have been occured in ideal spaces with topology (e.g. [2, 5, 6]). In 2011, Ekici introduced the notion of I_g^* -open sets. I_g^* -openness is placed between topology and Levine's openness. In this present paper, the notion of O^* -open maps is introduced and new relationships of I_g^* -openness are investigated. New properties among I_g^* -openness, topology and maps are introduced.

Number: FHD-2016-789.

Accepted: Aug. 29, 2016.

Received: May 23 ,2016

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 54A05, 54A10, 54C10, 54D10.

Key words and phrases. I_g^* -open, I_g^* -closed, g-open, $T_{I_g^* \leftrightarrows OC}$ space, O^* -open, \star -open, \star -closed. This work was supported by Research Fund of the Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart University. Project

Copyright © Deanship of Research and Graduate Studies, Yarmouk University, Irbid, Jordan.

 \Im denotes a topological space with the topology ϑ in this paper. For a set G in \Im , the interior of G is denoted by $\hat{i}(G)$ and the closure of G is denoted by $\hat{c}(G)$.

An ideal ℓ on a nonempty set \Im is a nonempty class of sets in \Im such that the following two conditions are satisfied

- (1) If $G \in \ell$ and $V \subseteq G$, then $V \in \ell$,
- (2) If $G, V \in \ell$, then $G \cup V \in \ell$ [11].

For a topological space \mathfrak{S} and an ideal ℓ on \mathfrak{S} , the operator $()^* : P(\mathfrak{S}) \longrightarrow P(\mathfrak{S})$, $G^*(\ell, \vartheta) = \{x \in \mathfrak{S} \mid V \cap G \notin \ell \text{ for each } V \in \vartheta \text{ with } x \in V\}$ for $G \subseteq \mathfrak{S}$, is called local function [11] of G where $P(\mathfrak{S})$ is the power set of \mathfrak{S} .

For a topological space \mathfrak{F} and an ideal ℓ on \mathfrak{F} , $\mathbf{\hat{c}}^*(G) = G \cup G^*(\ell, \vartheta)$ is a Kuratowski closure operator for a topology $\vartheta^*(\ell, \vartheta)$ and ϑ^* is called \star -topology [7]. The elements of ϑ^* are called \star -open sets and the complement of a \star -open set is called \star -closed [7]. For any set G in a topological space \mathfrak{F} , G is called g-closed [12] if $\mathbf{\hat{c}}(G) \subseteq H$ whenever $G \subseteq H$ and H is open in \mathfrak{F} and G is called g-open [12] if $\mathfrak{F} \setminus G$ is g-closed.

Definition 1.1. ([3]) Let \Im be a topological space and ℓ be an ideal on \Im . For a set G in \Im , G is called

- (1) I_q^* -closed if $\hat{\mathbf{c}}(G) \subseteq H$ for each \star -open set H in \Im such that $G \subseteq H$.
- (2) I_g^* -open if $\Im \setminus G$ is an I_g^* -closed set in \Im .

Remark 1. ([3]) Let \Im be a topological space and ℓ be an ideal on \Im . For a set G in \Im , the below implications hold and reverses of these implications are not true in

general as shown in [3]:

$$I_g^*$$
-open \longrightarrow g-open
 \uparrow
open
 \downarrow
 \star -open

2. I_q^* -Openness, topology and maps

Definition 2.1. Let \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 be topological spaces and ℓ , ℓ' be ideals on \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 , respectively. For a function $\hbar : \mathfrak{F}_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}_2$, \hbar is called O^* -open if $\hat{\mathbf{c}}(V) \subseteq \hbar(G)$ for each \star -open set G in \mathfrak{F}_1 and each I_g^* -open and I_g^* -closed set V in \mathfrak{F}_2 such that $V \subseteq \hbar(G)$.

Definition 2.2. Let \mathfrak{T} be a topological space with an ideal ℓ . Then \mathfrak{T} is said to be a $T_{I_q^* \hookrightarrow OC}$ ideal space if each I_q^* -open and I_q^* -closed set in \mathfrak{T} is open and closed.

Theorem 2.1. If a function $\hbar : \mathfrak{T}_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{T}_2$ is O^* -open for every topological space \mathfrak{T}_1 with any ideal ℓ , then the topological space \mathfrak{T}_2 with an ideal ℓ' is a $T_{I_a^* \leftrightarrows OC}$ space.

Proof. For a topological space \mathfrak{T}_2 with an ideal ℓ' , let $G \subseteq \mathfrak{T}_2$ be an I_g^* -open and I_g^* -closed set. Take the identity function $\hbar : \mathfrak{T}_2 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{T}_2$ with the same ideal where $\{\mathfrak{T}_2, \emptyset, G, \mathfrak{T}_2 \setminus G\}$ is the topology on the domain \mathfrak{T}_2 .

By the hypothesis, $\hbar : \mathfrak{F}_2 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}_2$ is an O^* -open function. For I_g^* -open and I_g^* -closed set $G \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_2$ and \star -open set $G \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_2$, since $G \subseteq \hbar(G)$, we have

$$\hat{\mathbf{c}}(G) \subseteq \hbar(G) = G.$$

Consequently, G is a closed set in \mathfrak{S}_2 .

On the other hand, for I_g^* -open and I_g^* -closed set $\mathfrak{F}_2 \setminus G \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_2$ and \star -open set $\mathfrak{F}_1 \setminus G \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_1$, since

$$\mathfrak{S}_2 \setminus G \subseteq \hbar(\mathfrak{S}_1 \setminus G),$$

we have

$$\hat{\mathbf{c}}(\mathfrak{S}_2 \setminus G)$$

$$\subseteq \quad \hbar(\mathfrak{S}_1 \setminus G) = \mathfrak{S}_2 \setminus G.$$

Consequently, $\mathfrak{F}_2 \setminus G$ is a closed set in \mathfrak{F}_2 .

Hence, the topological space \mathfrak{F}_2 with an ideal ℓ' is a $T_{I_q^* \leftrightarrows OC}$ space.

Theorem 2.2. Suppose that a topological space \mathfrak{S}_2 with an ideal ℓ' is a $T_{I_g^* \rightrightarrows OC}$ space. Then a function $\hbar : \mathfrak{S}_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_2$ is O^* -open for every topological space \mathfrak{S}_1 with any ideal ℓ .

Proof. Assume that a topological space \mathfrak{S}_2 with an ideal ℓ' is a $T_{I_g^* \leftrightarrows OC}$ space. Let W be a \star -open set in \mathfrak{S}_1 and $V \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_2$ be an I_g^* -open and I_g^* -closed set such that $V \subseteq \hbar(W)$. Since \mathfrak{S}_2 is an $T_{I_g^* \leftrightarrows OC}$ space, then V is closed and open. Thus, $\hat{\mathbf{c}}(V) \subseteq \hbar(W)$. Consequently, \hbar is O^* -open.

Corollary 2.1. A function $\hbar : \mathfrak{F}_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}_2$ is O^* -open for every topological space \mathfrak{F}_1 with any ideal ℓ if and only if topological space \mathfrak{F}_2 with an ideal ℓ' is a $T_{I_q^* \hookrightarrow OC}$ space.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.1 and 2.2.

Theorem 2.3. ([3]) Let \Im be a topological space with an ideal ℓ and $G \subseteq \Im$. Then G is I_g^* -open if and only if $H \subseteq \hat{i}(G)$ for each \star -closed set H in \Im such that $H \subseteq G$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $\hbar : \mathfrak{F}_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}_2$ be an O^* -open continuous and bijective function where \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 are topological spaces and ℓ , ℓ' be ideals on \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 , respectively. Then $\hbar^{-1}(G)$ is an I_g^* -open set in \mathfrak{F}_1 for any I_g^* -open and I_g^* -closed set G in \mathfrak{F}_2 .

Proof. Let V be a \star -closed set in \mathfrak{S}_1 and G be an I_g^* -open and I_g^* -closed set in \mathfrak{S}_2 such that $V \subseteq \hbar^{-1}(G)$. Since $V \subseteq \hbar^{-1}(G)$, we have

$$\Im_1 \setminus \hbar^{-1}(G)$$
$$= \hbar^{-1}(\Im_2 \setminus G) \subseteq \Im_1 \setminus V.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\mathfrak{S}_2 \setminus G \subseteq \hbar(\mathfrak{S}_1 \setminus V).$$

This implies that $\hat{\mathbf{c}}(\mathfrak{S}_2 \setminus G) \subseteq \hbar(\mathfrak{S}_1 \setminus V)$ and then $\mathfrak{S}_2 \setminus \hat{\imath}(G) \subseteq \hbar(\mathfrak{S}_1 \setminus V)$. We have

$$\hbar^{-1}(\mathfrak{S}_2 \setminus \hat{\imath}(G))$$
$$= \mathfrak{S}_1 \setminus \hbar^{-1}(\hat{\imath}(G)) \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_1 \setminus V$$

Then $V \subseteq \hbar^{-1}(\hat{\imath}(G))$. Furthermore, we have

$$V \subseteq \hbar^{-1}(\hat{\imath}(G))$$
$$= \hat{\imath}(\hbar^{-1}(\hat{\imath}(G)))$$
$$\subseteq \hat{\imath}(\hbar^{-1}(G)).$$

Consequently, $V \subseteq \hat{\imath}(\hbar^{-1}(G))$ and hence, $\hbar^{-1}(G)$ is I_g^* -open.

Remark 2. Example 2.1 enable us to realize that Theorem 2.4 is not true without continuity and bijectiveness.

Example 2.1. Assume $\Im = \{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}, \ \vartheta = \{\Im, \emptyset, \{t_1\}, \{t_1, t_2\}, \{t_3, t_4\}, \{t_1, t_3, t_4\}\}$ and the ideal $\ell = \{\emptyset, \{t_1\}, \{t_4\}, \{t_1, t_4\}\}$. Take $\hbar : \Im \longrightarrow \Im, \ \hbar(t_1) = t_4, \ \hbar(t_2) = t_1,$

 $\hbar(t_3) = t_1, \ \hbar(t_4) = t_4.$ Then \hbar is O^{*}-open but it is not continuous. Also, for I_g^* -open and I_g^* -closed set $\{t_1, t_2\}, \ \hbar^{-1}(\{t_1, t_2\})$ is not I_g^* -open.

Corollary 2.2. Let $\hbar : \mathfrak{F}_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}_2$ be an O^* -open continuous and bijective function where \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 are topological spaces and ℓ , ℓ' be ideals on \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 , respectively. Then $\hbar^{-1}(G)$ is an I_g^* -open and I_g^* -closed set in \mathfrak{F}_1 for any I_g^* -open and I_g^* -closed set G in \mathfrak{F}_2 .

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.4.

Theorem 2.5. Let \mathfrak{S}_1 and \mathfrak{S}_2 be topological spaces and ℓ , ℓ' be ideals on \mathfrak{S}_1 and \mathfrak{S}_2 , respectively. Suppose $\hbar : \mathfrak{S}_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_2$ is an O^* -open function. Then $V^* \subseteq \hbar(G)$ for each \star -open set G in \mathfrak{S}_1 and each I_g^* -open and I_g^* -closed set V in \mathfrak{S}_2 such that $V \subseteq \hbar(G)$.

Proof. Suppose that $\hbar : \mathfrak{F}_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}_2$ is an O^* -open function. For a \star -open set G in \mathfrak{F}_1 and an I_g^* -open and I_g^* -closed set V in \mathfrak{F}_2 such that $V \subseteq \hbar(G)$, since \hbar is O^* -open, then $\hat{\mathbf{c}}(V) \subseteq \hbar(G)$. We have $V^* \subseteq \hat{\mathbf{c}}(V) \subseteq \hbar(G)$. Consequently, we have $V^* \subseteq \hbar(G)$. \Box

3. The relationships

Theorem 3.1. Let $\hbar : \mathfrak{S}_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_2$ be a function where \mathfrak{S}_1 and \mathfrak{S}_2 are topological spaces and ℓ , ℓ' be ideals on \mathfrak{S}_1 and \mathfrak{S}_2 , respectively. If $\hbar(G)$ is closed in \mathfrak{S}_2 for each \star -open set G in \mathfrak{S}_1 , then \hbar is O^{\star} -open.

Proof. For any \star -open set G in \mathfrak{F}_1 and any I_g^* -open and I_g^* -closed set V in \mathfrak{F}_2 such that $V \subseteq \hbar(G)$, since $V \subseteq \hbar(G)$ and $\hbar(G)$ is closed in \mathfrak{F}_2 for \star -open set G in \mathfrak{F}_1 , then

 $\hat{\mathbf{c}}(V) \subseteq \hat{\mathbf{c}}(\hbar(G))$ = $\hbar(G).$

Consequently, \hbar is O^* -open.

Remark 3. The converse of Theorem 3.1 is generally false. The below example shows this situation:

Example 3.1. Assume $\Im = \{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}, \ \vartheta = \{\Im, \emptyset, \{t_1\}, \{t_1, t_2\}, \{t_3, t_4\}, \{t_1, t_3, t_4\}\}$ and the ideal $\ell = \{\emptyset, \{t_1\}, \{t_4\}, \{t_1, t_4\}\}$. Take $\hbar : \Im \longrightarrow \Im, \hbar(t_1) = t_3, \hbar(t_2) = t_3, \hbar(t_3) = t_1, \hbar(t_4) = t_1$. Then \hbar is O^* -open but $\hbar(\{t_1\}) = \{t_3\}$ is not closed.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\hbar : \mathfrak{T}_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{T}_2$ be a function where \mathfrak{T}_1 and \mathfrak{T}_2 are topological spaces and ℓ , ℓ' be ideals on \mathfrak{T}_1 and \mathfrak{T}_2 , respectively. If \hbar is O^* -open and each set in \mathfrak{T}_2 is I_g^* -closed, then $\hbar(G)$ is closed in \mathfrak{T}_2 for each \star -open set G in \mathfrak{T}_1 .

Proof. Let G be a *-open set in \mathfrak{T}_1 . Since each set in \mathfrak{T}_2 is I_g^* -closed, $\hbar(G)$ is an I_g^* -open and I_g^* -closed set in \mathfrak{T}_2 . Since \hbar is an O^* -open function, then we have $\hat{\mathbf{c}}(\hbar(G)) \subseteq \hbar(G)$. Consequently, $\hbar(G)$ is closed in \mathfrak{T}_2 .

Corollary 3.1. Let $\hbar : \mathfrak{T}_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{T}_2$ be a function where \mathfrak{T}_1 and \mathfrak{T}_2 are topological spaces and ℓ , ℓ' be ideals on \mathfrak{T}_1 and \mathfrak{T}_2 , respectively. If each set in \mathfrak{T}_2 is I_g^* -closed, then \hbar is O^* -open if and only if $\hbar(G)$ is closed in \mathfrak{T}_2 for each \star -open set G in \mathfrak{T}_1 .

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.1 and 3.2.

Definition 3.1. Let $\hbar : \mathfrak{F}_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}_2$ be a function where \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 are topological spaces and ℓ , ℓ' be ideals on \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 , respectively. \hbar is said to be

(1) *-open [4] if $\hbar(G)$ is *-open for every *-open subset $G \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_1$.

(2) *-closed [3] if $\hbar(G)$ is *-closed for every *-closed subset $G \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_1$.

309

Remark 4. Let $\hbar : \mathfrak{F}_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}_2$ be a function where \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 are topological spaces and ℓ , ℓ' be ideals on \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 , respectively. If \hbar is \star -open, then \hbar is O^{\star} -open. The converse of this implication is generally false. The below example shows this situation:

Example 3.2. Assume $\Im = \{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}, \ \vartheta = \{\Im, \emptyset, \{t_1\}, \{t_2, t_3\}, \{t_1, t_2, t_3\}\}$ and the ideal $\ell = \{\emptyset, \{t_1\}, \{t_4\}, \{t_1, t_4\}\}$. Take $\hbar : \Im \longrightarrow \Im, \hbar(t_1) = t_4, \hbar(t_2) = t_4, \hbar(t_3) = t_1, \hbar(t_4) = t_1$. Then \hbar is O^* -open but \hbar is not \star -open.

Remark 5. Let $\hbar : \mathfrak{F}_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}_2$ be a function where \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 are topological spaces and ℓ , ℓ' be ideals on \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 , respectively. By Theorem 3.1, Remarks 3 and 4, the below imlications hold:

 $\hbar(G)$ is closed in \mathfrak{S}_2 for every \star -open set G in $\mathfrak{S}_1 \longrightarrow O^{\star}$ -open $\uparrow \star$ -open

Corollary 3.2. Let $\hbar : \mathfrak{F}_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}_2$ be a continuous bijective function where \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 are topological spaces and ℓ , ℓ' be ideals on \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 , respectively.

(1) If \hbar is \star -open, then $\hbar^{-1}(G)$ is an I_g^* -open and I_g^* -closed set in \mathfrak{S}_1 for any I_g^* -open and I_g^* -closed set G in \mathfrak{S}_2 .

(2) If \hbar is \star -closed, then $\hbar^{-1}(G)$ is an I_g^* -open and I_g^* -closed set in \mathfrak{S}_1 for any I_g^* -open and I_g^* -closed set G in \mathfrak{S}_2 .

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.4 and Remark 5.

Remark 6. The following example shows that the composition of two O^* -open maps need not be O^* -open.

Example 3.3. Assume $\mathfrak{T}_1 = \{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5\}, \ \vartheta_1 = \{\mathfrak{T}_1, \ \emptyset, \ \{t_1\}, \ \{t_4\}, \ \{t_1, t_2\}, \ \{t_1, t_4\}, \ \{t_1, t_2, t_4\}\}, \ the \ ideal \ \ell_1 = \{\emptyset, \ \{t_2\}\} \ and \ \mathfrak{T}_2 = \{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}, \ \vartheta_2 = \{\mathfrak{T}_2, \mathfrak{T}_3, \mathfrak{T}_4\}, \ \vartheta_2 = \{\mathfrak{T}_2, \mathfrak{T}_3, \mathfrak{T}_4\}, \ \vartheta_3 = \{\mathfrak{T}_3, \mathfrak{T}_4\}, \ \vartheta_4 = \{\mathfrak{T}_4, \mathfrak{T}_4\}, \ \vartheta_5 = \mathfrak{T}_4, \ \vartheta_5 =$

 $\emptyset, \{t_1\}, \{t_1, t_2\}, \{t_3, t_4\}, \{t_1, t_3, t_4\}\}, the ideal <math>\ell_2 = \{\emptyset, \{t_1\}, \{t_4\}, \{t_1, t_4\}\}.$ Take $\hbar_1 : \Im_1 \longrightarrow \Im_1, \ \hbar_1(t_1) = t_1, \ \hbar_1(t_2) = t_1, \ \hbar_1(t_3) = t_4, \ \hbar_1(t_4) = t_4, \ \hbar_1(t_5) = t_4 and$ $\hbar_2 : \Im_1 \longrightarrow \Im_2, \ \hbar_2(t_1) = t_3, \ \hbar_2(t_2) = t_3, \ \hbar_2(t_3) = t_3, \ \hbar_2(t_4) = t_3, \ \hbar_2(t_5) = t_3.$ Then $\hbar_1 \ and \ \hbar_2 \ are \ O^* \text{-open but } \hbar_2 \circ \hbar_1 \ is \ not \ O^* \text{-open.}$

Definition 3.2. Let $\hbar : \mathfrak{F}_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}_2$ be a function where \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 are topological spaces and ℓ , ℓ' be ideals on \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 , respectively. \hbar is called I_g^* -irresolute if $\hbar^{-1}(G)$ is I_g^* -closed for each I_g^* -closed subset $G \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_2$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $\hbar_1 : \mathfrak{S}_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_2$, $\hbar_2 : \mathfrak{S}_2 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_3$ be functions where \mathfrak{S}_1 , \mathfrak{S}_2 and \mathfrak{S}_3 are topological spaces and ℓ , ℓ' and ℓ'' be ideals on \mathfrak{S}_1 , \mathfrak{S}_2 and \mathfrak{S}_3 , respectively. Suppose that \hbar_1 is O^{*}-open and \hbar_2 is closed, bijective I_g^* -irresolute. Then $\hbar_2 \circ \hbar_1$ is O^{*}-open.

Proof. For any I_g^* -open and I_g^* -closed set V in \mathfrak{F}_3 and any \star -open set G in \mathfrak{F}_1 such that $V \subseteq (\hbar_2 o \hbar_1)(G)$, we have $\hbar_2^{-1}(V) \subseteq \hbar_1(G)$. Since $\hbar_2^{-1}(V)$ is I_g^* -open and I_g^* -closed and also \hbar_1 is O^* -open, then $\hat{\mathbf{c}}(\hbar_2^{-1}(V)) \subseteq \hbar_1(G)$. Furthermore, we have $\hbar_2(\hat{\mathbf{c}}(\hbar_2^{-1}(V))) \subseteq \hbar_2(\hbar_1(G)) = (\hbar_2 o \hbar_1)(G)$. This implies that

$$\hat{\mathbf{c}}(V) \subseteq \hat{\mathbf{c}}(\hbar_2(\hbar_2^{-1}(V)))$$

$$\subseteq \quad \hbar_2(\hat{\mathbf{c}}(\hbar_2^{-1}(V)))$$

We have $\hat{\mathbf{c}}(V) \subseteq (\hbar_2 o \hbar_1)(G)$. Consequently, $\hbar_2 o \hbar_1$ is O^* -open.

Corollary 3.3. Let $\hbar_1 : \mathfrak{T}_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{T}_2$, $\hbar_2 : \mathfrak{T}_2 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{T}_3$ be functions where \mathfrak{T}_1 , \mathfrak{T}_2 and \mathfrak{T}_3 are topological spaces and ℓ , ℓ' and ℓ'' be ideals on \mathfrak{T}_1 , \mathfrak{T}_2 and \mathfrak{T}_3 , respectively. Suppose that \hbar_1 is O^* -open and \hbar_2 is open, bijective I_g^* -irresolute. Then $\hbar_2 \circ \hbar_1$ is O^* -open.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.3.

311

Theorem 3.4. Let $\hbar_1 : \mathfrak{F}_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}_2$, $\hbar_2 : \mathfrak{F}_2 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}_3$ be functions where \mathfrak{F}_1 , \mathfrak{F}_2 and \mathfrak{F}_3 are topological spaces and ℓ , ℓ' and ℓ'' be ideals on \mathfrak{F}_1 , \mathfrak{F}_2 and \mathfrak{F}_3 , respectively. Suppose that \hbar_1 is \star -open and \hbar_2 is O^{\star} -open. Then $\hbar_2 \circ \hbar_1$ is O^{\star} -open.

Proof. For any I_g^* -open and I_g^* -closed set V in \mathfrak{F}_3 and any \star -open set G in \mathfrak{F}_1 such that $V \subseteq (\hbar_2 o \hbar_1)(G)$, since \hbar_1 is \star -open, then $\hbar_1(G)$ is \star -open. Also since \hbar_2 is O^* -open, we have

$$\hat{\mathbf{c}}(V) \subseteq \hbar_2(\hbar_1(G))$$
$$= (\hbar_2 o \hbar_1)(G)$$

This implies that $\hat{\mathbf{c}}(V) \subseteq (\hbar_2 o \hbar_1)(G)$. Consequently, $\hbar_2 o \hbar_1$ is O^* -open.

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank the editor and the referee.

References

- M. Caldas, On quasi semipre-θ-closed sets in topology, Jordan Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 8 (4) (2015), 281-291.
- [2] E. Ekici and T. Noiri, On subsets and decompositions of continuity in ideal topological spaces, Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering, Volume 34, Number 1A, 2009, 165-177.
- [3] E. Ekici, On *I*-Alexandroff and I_{g} -Alexandroff ideal topological spaces, Filomat, 25 (4) (2011), 99-108.
- [4] E. Ekici and S. Özen, Rough closedness, rough continuity and I_g-closed sets, Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest., 55 (2012), 47-55.
- [5] E. Ekici, On A^{*}_I-sets, C_I-sets, C^{*}_I-sets and decompositions of continuity in ideal topological spaces, Analele Stiintifice Ale Universitatii Al. I. Cuza Din Iasi (S. N.) Matematica, Tomul LIX (2013), f. 1, 173-184.
- [6] E. Ekici and O. Elmalı, On decompositions via generalized closedness in ideal spaces, Filomat, 29 (4) (2015), 879-886.

313

- [7] D. Janković and T. R. Hamlett, New topologies from old via ideals, Amer. Math. Monthly, 97 (1990), 295-310.
- [8] T. Y. Kong, R. Kopperman and P. R. Meyer, A topological approach to digital topology, Amer. Math. Monthly, 98 (1991), 901-917.
- [9] V. Kovalevsky and R. Kopperman, Some topology-based image processing algorithms, Ann. New York Acad. Sci., 728 (1994), 174-182.
- [10] E. H. Kronheimer, A note on alternative digital topologies, Topology and its Applications, 46 (3) (1992), 269-277.
- [11] K. Kuratowski, Topology, Vol. I, Academic Press, NewYork, 1966.
- [12] N. Levine, Generalized closed sets in topology, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 19 (1970), 89-96.
- [13] H. Mazaheri and Z. Golinejad, Monotonic analysis: Some results of increasing and positively homogeneous functions, Jordan Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 7(2) (2014), 109-118.
- [14] E. L. F. Moore and T. J. Peters, Computational topology for geometric design and molecular design, Mathematics for Industry: challenges and frontiers, SIAM, Philadephia (2005), 125-139.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CANAKKALE ONSEKIZ MART UNIVERSITY, TERZIOGLU CAM-PUS, 17020 CANAKKALE/TURKEY

E-mail address: eekici@comu.edu.tr, prof.dr.erdalekici@gmail.com