

## AN EXTENSION OF MULHOLLAND'S INEQUALITY

BICHENG YANG

ABSTRACT. By introducing multi-parameters and using the way of weight coefficients and Hadamard's inequality, a more accurate extension of Mulholland's inequality and the equivalent form are considered.

### 1. Introduction

If  $p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, a_m, b_n \geq 0, 0 < \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m^{p-1} a_m^p < \infty$  and  $0 < \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{q-1} b_n^q < \infty$ , then we have the following Mulholland's inequality [1]:

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{\ln mn} < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left\{ \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m^{p-1} a_m^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{q-1} b_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where the constant factor  $\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)}$  is the best possible. In 2007, by assuming that  $\alpha \geq e^{7/6}, 2 - \min\{p, q\} < \lambda \leq 2$ , Yang [2] gave a more accurate Hilbert-type inequality similar to (1.1) as:

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{\ln^{\lambda} \alpha mn} < k_{\lambda}(p) \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{m^{p-1} a_m^p}{\ln^{\lambda-1} \sqrt{\alpha} m} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{q-1} b_n^q}{\ln^{\lambda-1} \sqrt{\alpha} n} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where  $k_{\lambda}(p) = B\left(\frac{p+\lambda-2}{p}, \frac{q+\lambda-2}{q}\right)$  is the best possible and  $B(u, v) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{t^{u-1} dt}{(1+t)^{u+v}}$  ( $u, v > 0$ ) is the Beta function(cf. [3]). There are some publishing results about more

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26D15.

*Key words and phrases.* Mulholland's inequality, weight coefficient, Hadamard's inequality, equivalent form.

Copyright © Deanship of Research and Graduate Studies, Yarmouk University, Irbid, Jordan.

Received: March 16, 2010,

Accepted : July 29, 2010.

accurate Hilbert-type inequalities in [4], [5], [6] and [7]. In this paper, by introducing multi-parameters and using the way of weight coefficients and Hadamard's inequality, a more accurate extension of (1.1) and the equivalent form are given. That is

**Theorem 1.1.** *If  $p, r > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1, 0 < \gamma \leq 1, 0 < \gamma\lambda \leq \min\{r, s\}, \beta, \alpha \geq -\frac{1}{2}, a_m, b_n \geq 0$ , such that*

$$0 < \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{(m + \alpha)^{p-1} a_m^p}{[\ln(m + \alpha)]^{p(\frac{\gamma\lambda}{r} - \frac{1}{q})}} < \infty, 0 < \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n + \beta)^{q-1} b_n^q}{[\ln(n + \beta)]^{q(\frac{\gamma\lambda}{s} - \frac{1}{p})}} < \infty,$$

then we have the following equivalent inequalities:

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} I & : = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{[\ln^{\gamma}(m + \alpha) + \ln^{\gamma}(n + \beta)]^{\lambda}} < \frac{1}{\gamma} B\left(\frac{\lambda}{r}, \frac{\lambda}{s}\right) \\ & \times \left\{ \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{(m + \alpha)^{p-1} a_m^p}{[\ln(m + \alpha)]^{p(\frac{\gamma\lambda}{r} - \frac{1}{q})}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n + \beta)^{q-1} b_n^q}{[\ln(n + \beta)]^{q(\frac{\gamma\lambda}{s} - \frac{1}{p})}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} J & : = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\ln(n + \beta)]^{(p\frac{\gamma\lambda}{s} - 1)}}{n + \beta} \left[ \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{[\ln^{\gamma}(m + \alpha) + \ln^{\gamma}(n + \beta)]^{\lambda}} \right]^p \\ & < \left[ \frac{1}{\gamma} B\left(\frac{\lambda}{r}, \frac{\lambda}{s}\right) \right]^p \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{(m + \alpha)^{p-1}}{[\ln(m + \alpha)]^{p(\frac{\gamma\lambda}{r} - \frac{1}{q})}} a_m^p, \end{aligned}$$

where the constant factors  $\frac{1}{\gamma} B\left(\frac{\lambda}{r}, \frac{\lambda}{s}\right)$  and  $\left[\frac{1}{\gamma} B\left(\frac{\lambda}{r}, \frac{\lambda}{s}\right)\right]^p$  are the best possible.

Remark 1. For  $\gamma = \lambda = 1, \alpha = \beta = 0, r = q, s = p$  in (1.3), we have (1.1). Hence inequality (1.3) is a more accurate extension of (1.1).

## 2. Some Lemmas

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $r > 1, \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1, 0 < \gamma \leq 1, 0 < \gamma\lambda \leq \min\{r, s\}, \beta, \alpha \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ , define the following weight coefficient:*

$$(2.1) \quad \omega_m(s) : = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\ln(m+\alpha)]^{\gamma\lambda/r} [\ln(n+\beta)]^{(\gamma\lambda/s)-1}}{[\ln^{\gamma}(m+\alpha) + \ln^{\gamma}(n+\beta)]^{\lambda}(n+\beta)},$$

$$(2.2) \quad \varpi_n(r) : = \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\ln(n+\beta)]^{\gamma\lambda/s} [\ln(m+\alpha)]^{(\gamma\lambda/r)-1}}{[\ln^{\gamma}(m+\alpha) + \ln^{\gamma}(n+\beta)]^{\lambda}(m+\alpha)} \quad (m, n \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{1\}).$$

Then we have inequalities  $\varpi_n(r) < \frac{1}{\gamma} B(\frac{\lambda}{r}, \frac{\lambda}{s})$  and

$$(2.3) \quad 0 < \frac{1}{\gamma} B(\frac{\lambda}{r}, \frac{\lambda}{s}) [1 - O([\frac{1}{\ln(m+\alpha)}]^{\frac{\gamma\lambda}{s}})] < \omega_m(s) < \frac{1}{\gamma} B(\frac{\lambda}{r}, \frac{\lambda}{s}).$$

*Proof.* Define the function  $f(y)$  as

$$f(y) := \frac{[\ln(m+\alpha)]^{\gamma\lambda/r} [\ln(y+\beta)]^{(\gamma\lambda/s)-1}}{[\ln^{\gamma}(m+\alpha) + \ln^{\gamma}(y+\beta)]^{\lambda}(y+\beta)} \quad (y > \frac{3}{2}).$$

Setting  $u = [\frac{\ln(y+\beta)}{\ln(m+\alpha)}]^{\gamma}$ , since  $\beta \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ , we find

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\infty} f(y) dy = \int_{[\frac{\ln(\frac{3}{2}+\beta)}{\ln(m+\alpha)}]^{\gamma}}^{\infty} \frac{u^{(\lambda/s)-1} du}{\gamma(1+u)^{\lambda}} \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{u^{(\lambda/s)-1} du}{(1+u)^{\lambda}} = \frac{1}{\gamma} B(\frac{\lambda}{r}, \frac{\lambda}{s}).$$

It is obvious that  $f'(y) < 0, f''(y) > 0 (y > \frac{3}{2})$ . By Hadamard's inequality (cf.[8]), it follows that  $f(n) < \int_{n-\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} f(y) dy (n \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{1\})$  and then

$$(2.5) \quad \omega_m(s) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} f(n) < \int_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\infty} f(y) dy \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} B(\frac{\lambda}{r}, \frac{\lambda}{s}).$$

By the same way,  $\varpi_n(r) < \frac{1}{\gamma} B(\frac{\lambda}{r}, \frac{\lambda}{s})$ . Since  $f(y)$  is strictly decreasing, then

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_m(s) &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} f(n) > \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int_n^{n+1} f(y) dy = \int_2^{\infty} f(y) dy \\ (2.6) \quad &= \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{[\frac{\ln(2+\beta)}{\ln(m+\alpha)}]^\gamma}^{\infty} \frac{u^{(\lambda/s)-1} du}{(1+u)^\lambda} = \frac{1}{\gamma} B\left(\frac{\lambda}{r}, \frac{\lambda}{s}\right) [1 - \theta_m(s)] > 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \theta_m(s) &:= [B(\frac{\lambda}{r}, \frac{\lambda}{s})]^{-1} \int_0^{[\frac{\ln(2+\beta)}{\ln(m+\alpha)}]^\gamma} \frac{u^{(\lambda/s)-1} du}{(1+u)^\lambda} \\ (2.7) \quad &\leq \frac{1}{B(\frac{\lambda}{r}, \frac{\lambda}{s})} \int_0^{[\frac{\ln(2+\beta)}{\ln(m+\alpha)}]^\gamma} u^{\frac{\lambda}{s}-1} du = \frac{s}{\lambda B(\frac{\lambda}{r}, \frac{\lambda}{s})} \left[\frac{\ln(2+\beta)}{\ln(m+\alpha)}\right]^{\frac{\gamma\lambda}{s}}, \end{aligned}$$

and then  $\theta_m(s) = O([\frac{1}{\ln(m+\alpha)}]^\frac{\gamma\lambda}{s})$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *As the assumption of Lemma 1, if  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $a_m, b_n \geq 0$ ,  $J$  is indicated by (1.4), then*

$$(2.8) \quad J \leq \left[ \frac{1}{\gamma} B\left(\frac{\lambda}{r}, \frac{\lambda}{s}\right) \right]^{p-1} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \omega_m(s) \frac{(m+\alpha)^{p-1}}{[\ln(m+\alpha)]^{p(\frac{\gamma\lambda}{r}-\frac{1}{q})}} a_m^p.$$

*Proof.* By Hölder's inequality (cf. [8]) and Lemma 2.1, we find

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[ \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{[\ln^\gamma(m+\alpha) + \ln^\gamma(n+\beta)]^\lambda} \right]^p = \left\{ \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{[\ln^\gamma(m+\alpha) + \ln^\gamma(n+\beta)]^\lambda} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left[ \frac{[\ln(m+\alpha)]^{(1-\frac{\gamma\lambda}{r})/q} (m+\alpha)^{\frac{1}{q}} a_m}{[\ln(n+\beta)]^{(1-\frac{\gamma\lambda}{s})/p} (n+\beta)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right] \left[ \frac{[\ln(n+\beta)]^{(1-\frac{\gamma\lambda}{s})/p} (n+\beta)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{[\ln(m+\alpha)]^{(1-\frac{\gamma\lambda}{r})/q} (m+\alpha)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \right] \right\}^p \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_m^p}{[\ln^\gamma(m+\alpha) + \ln^\gamma(n+\beta)]^\lambda} \frac{[\ln(m+\alpha)]^{(1-\frac{\gamma\lambda}{r})p/q}(m+\alpha)^{\frac{p}{q}}}{[\ln(n+\beta)]^{(1-\frac{\gamma\lambda}{s})(n+\beta)}} \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{[\ln^\gamma(m+\alpha) + \ln^\gamma(n+\beta)]^\lambda} \frac{[\ln(n+\beta)]^{(1-\frac{\gamma\lambda}{s})\frac{q}{p}}(n+\beta)^{\frac{q}{p}}}{[\ln(m+\alpha)]^{(1-\frac{\gamma\lambda}{r})(m+\alpha)}} \right\}^{p-1} \\
 &\leq \frac{(n+\beta)}{[\ln(m+\alpha)]^{(p\frac{\gamma\lambda}{s}-1)}} \left[ \frac{1}{\gamma} B\left(\frac{\lambda}{r}, \frac{\lambda}{s}\right) \right]^{p-1} \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{(m+\alpha)^{p-1} a_m^p}{[\ln^\gamma(m+\alpha) + \ln^\gamma(n+\beta)]^\lambda} \frac{[\ln(m+\alpha)]^{(1-\frac{\gamma\lambda}{r})(p-1)}}{[\ln(n+\beta)]^{(1-\frac{\gamma\lambda}{s})(n+\beta)}}, \\
 \\
 J &\leq \left[ \frac{1}{\gamma} B\left(\frac{\lambda}{r}, \frac{\lambda}{s}\right) \right]^{p-1} \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(m+\alpha)^{p-1} a_m^p}{[\ln^\gamma(m+\alpha) + \ln^\gamma(n+\beta)]^\lambda} \frac{[\ln(m+\alpha)]^{(1-\frac{\gamma\lambda}{r})(p-1)}}{[\ln(n+\beta)]^{(1-\frac{\gamma\lambda}{s})(n+\beta)}} \\
 &= \left[ \frac{1}{\gamma} B\left(\frac{\lambda}{r}, \frac{\lambda}{s}\right) \right]^{p-1} \left\{ \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \omega_m(s) \frac{(m+\alpha)^{p-1} a_m^p}{[\ln(m+\alpha)]^{p(\frac{\gamma\lambda}{r}-\frac{1}{q})}} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the lemma is proved.  $\square$

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* In view of (2.8) and (2.3), we have (1.4). By Hölder's inequality, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.1) \quad I &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{[\ln(n+\beta)]^{(\frac{-1}{p}+\frac{\gamma\lambda}{s})}}{(n+\beta)^{1/p}} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{[\ln^\gamma(m+\alpha) + \ln^\gamma(n+\beta)]^\lambda} \right] \\
 &\quad \times \left[ \frac{(n+\beta)^{1/p} b_n}{[\ln(n+\beta)]^{(\frac{-1}{p}+\frac{\gamma\lambda}{s})}} \right] \leq J^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n+\beta)^{q-1}}{[\ln(n+\beta)]^{q(\frac{\gamma\lambda}{s}-\frac{1}{p})}} b_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By (1.4), we have (1.3). On the other hand, suppose that (1.3) is valid. Setting

$$b_n := \frac{[\ln(n+\beta)]^{(p\frac{\gamma\lambda}{s}-1)}}{n+\beta} \left[ \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{[\ln^\gamma(m+\alpha) + \ln^\gamma(n+\beta)]^\lambda} \right]^{p-1},$$

then  $J = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n+\beta)^{q-1}}{[\ln(n+\beta)]^{q(\frac{\gamma\lambda}{s}-\frac{1}{p})}} b_n^q$ . By (2.8),  $J < \infty$ . If  $J = 0$ , then (1.4) is naturally valid; if  $J > 0$ , then by (1.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n+\beta)^{q-1}}{[\ln(n+\beta)]^{q(\frac{\gamma\lambda}{s}-\frac{1}{p})}} b_n^q = J = I < \frac{1}{\gamma} B\left(\frac{\lambda}{r}, \frac{\lambda}{s}\right) \\ &\times \left\{ \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{(m+\alpha)^{p-1}}{[\ln(m+\alpha)]^{p(\frac{\gamma\lambda}{r}-\frac{1}{q})}} a_m^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n+\beta)^{q-1}}{[\ln(n+\beta)]^{q(\frac{\gamma\lambda}{s}-\frac{1}{p})}} b_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty, \\ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n+\beta)^{q-1} b_n^q}{[\ln(n+\beta)]^{q(\frac{\gamma\lambda}{s}-\frac{1}{p})}} &= J < \left[ \frac{1}{\gamma} B\left(\frac{\lambda}{r}, \frac{\lambda}{s}\right) \right]^p \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{(m+\alpha)^{p-1} a_m^p}{[\ln(m+\alpha)]^{p(\frac{\gamma\lambda}{r}-\frac{1}{q})}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (1.4) is valid, which is equivalent to (1.3). Without loss of generality, suppose that  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . For  $0 < \varepsilon < q\frac{\gamma\lambda}{s}$ , setting  $\tilde{a}_m, \tilde{b}_n$  as:  $\tilde{a}_m = \frac{[\ln(m+\alpha)]^{\frac{\gamma\lambda}{r}-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}-1}}{m+\alpha}$ ,  $\tilde{b}_n = \frac{[\ln(n+\beta)]^{\frac{\gamma\lambda}{s}-\frac{\varepsilon}{q}-1}}{n+\beta}$  and  $S = (\frac{1}{s} - \frac{\varepsilon}{q\gamma\lambda})^{-1} > 0$ ,  $R = (\frac{1}{r} + \frac{\varepsilon}{q\gamma\lambda})^{-1} > 1$ , we have by (2.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I} &: = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{a}_m \tilde{b}_n}{[\ln^\gamma(m+\alpha) + \ln^\gamma(n+\beta)]^\lambda} = \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_m(S)}{[\ln(m+\alpha)]^{1+\varepsilon} (m+\alpha)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\gamma} B\left(\frac{\lambda}{R}, \frac{\lambda}{S}\right) \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{[\ln(m+\alpha)]^{1+\varepsilon} (m+\alpha)} \left[ 1 - O\left(\frac{1}{[\ln(m+\alpha)]^{\gamma\lambda/S}}\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma} B\left(\frac{\lambda}{R}, \frac{\lambda}{S}\right) \left[ \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\ln(m+\alpha)]^{-1-\varepsilon}}{(m+\alpha)} - \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} O\left(\frac{[\ln(m+\alpha)]^{-1-(\gamma\lambda/S)-\varepsilon}}{(m+\alpha)}\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma} B\left(\frac{\lambda}{R}, \frac{\lambda}{S}\right) \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\ln(m+\alpha)]^{-1-\varepsilon}}{(m+\alpha)} \\ (3.2) \quad &\times \left\{ 1 - \left[ \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\ln(m+\alpha)]^{-1-\varepsilon}}{(m+\alpha)} \right]^{-1} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} O\left(\frac{[\ln(m+\alpha)]^{-1-(\gamma\lambda/S)-\varepsilon}}{(m+\alpha)}\right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H} &: = \left\{ \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{(m+\alpha)^{p-1} \tilde{a}_m^p}{[\ln(m+\alpha)]^{p(\frac{\gamma\lambda}{r}-\frac{1}{q})}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n+\beta)^{q-1} \tilde{b}_n^q}{[\ln(n+\beta)]^{q(\frac{\gamma\lambda}{s}-\frac{1}{p})}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ (3.3) \quad &= \left\{ \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\ln(m+\alpha)]^{-\varepsilon-1}}{m+\alpha} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\ln(n+\beta)]^{-\varepsilon-1}}{n+\beta} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\ln(m+\alpha)]^{-\varepsilon-1}}{m+\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

If there exists a constant  $0 < k \leq \frac{1}{\gamma}B(\frac{\lambda}{r}, \frac{\lambda}{s})$ , such that (1.3) is valid as we replace  $\frac{1}{\gamma}B(\frac{\lambda}{r}, \frac{\lambda}{s})$  by  $k$ , then  $\tilde{I} < k\tilde{H}$ , and by (3.2) and (3.3), it follows that

$$\frac{1}{\gamma}B(\frac{\lambda}{R}, \frac{\lambda}{S})\{1 - [\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\ln(m+\alpha)]^{-1-\varepsilon}}{(m+\alpha)}]^{-1} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} O(\frac{[\ln(m+\alpha)]^{-1-\frac{\gamma\lambda}{S}-\varepsilon}}{(m+\alpha)})\} < k.$$

Then for  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ ,  $\frac{1}{\gamma}B(\frac{\lambda}{r}, \frac{\lambda}{s}) \leq k$ . Therefore  $k = \frac{1}{\gamma}B(\frac{\lambda}{r}, \frac{\lambda}{s})$  is the best value of (1.3). The constant  $[\frac{1}{\gamma}B(\frac{\lambda}{r}, \frac{\lambda}{s})]^p$  in (1.4) is the best possible, otherwise, we get a contradiction by (3.1) that the constant in (1.3) is not the best value.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1934.
- [2] B. Yang, *On an extension of Hardy-Hilbert's type inequality and a reversion*, Acta Mathematica Sinica, Chinese Series, 2007, **50**(4): 561–568.
- [3] B. Yang, *The norm of operator and Hilbert-type inequalities*, Science Press, Beijing, 2009.
- [4] J. Zhong, B. Yang, *On an extension of a more accurate Hilbert-type inequality*, Journal of Zhejiang University(Science Edition), 2008, **35**(2):121–124.
- [5] B. Yang, *On a new Hardy-Hilbert's type inequality*, Math. Ineq. Appl., 2004,**7**(3):355–363.
- [6] B. Yang, *On a more accurat Hardy-Hilbert's type inequality and its applications*, Acta Mathematica Sinica, 2006, **49**(2): 363–368.
- [7] W. Zhong, *A Hilbert-type linear operator with the norm and its applications*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, Vol. 2009, Article ID 494257, 18 pages, doi: 10.1155/2009/494257.
- [8] J. Kuang, *Applied inequalities*, Shangdong Science Technic Press, Jinan, 2004.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GUANGDONG EDUCATION INSTITUTE, AND GUANGZHOU, GUANGDONG 510303, P. R. CHINA

*E-mail address:* bcyang@pub.guangzhou.gd.cn